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New servers
- cannot run a VM version of Cascade that’s faster than our current set-up
- finally got a price for new Dell blade servers
- estimated to change over to the new servers in spring/summer

Print.css
- has rolled out live
- inviting users to advise us of any problems they notice with the print style

Slideshow block
- Built-in versioning should let us upgrade with little issue
- Some users have custom styles and we will test them individually before switching it over for all

People block
- working on UI and styles

Admin/Manager roles
- roles will be adjusted in spring
- people with admin roles will be changed over to managers
- those who need access to the configuration set and content type areas can keep admin role
- current templates are missing important elements: privacy statement and campus identity standards, policy and procedures, principles of community, helper apps
- content is written, but the difficulty is how to implement it
- will put the pages directly in each site and, with the change in roles, the pages won’t be visible to users

Footers in Site Configuration
- plan to expose three regions that are otherwise only accessible in the configuration sets

Responsive Design
- will be available in the summer, after commencement
- demoed the responsive design version for the group
- Will collapse for phone size, includes search
- takes a long time to load right now, but trying to find a way to increase load speed
- Can we publish out a link somewhere to let people view the demo? Will follow up on this
- Custom styles may not work well in the new responsive design. Will let the users decide if it’s compatible or not, will give the users the choice of activating responsive design or not

Header discussion
- Want to get rid of using H1 as the site title and use it for page titles instead
- WCAG2 specifies how headers should be used to provide semantic information about the the importance of sections of page content

Metadata
- new element called Comments. It does not publish out, it is only for end user use
- Justin Lok requested the box be an area box as opposed to a text field
- Is there a better implementation? Need a multiline comment area
Satisfaction Survey
  • 70+ responses so far
  • New training ideas have come out as a result of the responses
  • will be adding a training server dedicated to hands-on training

Site Quality Reviews
  • presently, there’s no optional quality review process
  • if users request a review, some of it can be provided relatively quickly where related to accessibility, links
  • other components need to be looked at in greater depth
  • style or function reviews

Submitting next year for permanent funding
  • going to request additional FTE

Monthly system patching
  • Scheduled for April 22nd, just a server upgrade and no changes to service

Version 1, End of Life
  • training will no longer be offered for Version 1 sites
  • highly recommending that such sites be transitioned to version 2

CMS Users Meetings
  • some people have scheduling conflicts -- will look to do meetings as a webinar readytalk style
  • Inquired about what users might want to see
  • Best practices, tips and tricks, lessons geared to beginner and moderate-level users